

A GENERALIZATION OF BEURLING'S THEOREM AND QUASI-INNER FUNCTIONS

YUN-SU KIM

ABSTRACT. We introduce two kinds of quasi-inner functions. Since every rationally invariant subspace for a shift operator S_K on a vector-valued Hardy space $H^2(\Omega, K)$ is generated by a quasi-inner function, we also provide relationships of quasi-inner functions by comparing rationally invariant subspaces generated by them. Furthermore, we discuss fundamental properties of quasi-inner functions, and quasi-inner divisors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beurling characterized all invariant subspaces for the shift operator on the Hardy space H^2 in terms of inner functions [2]. If φ and ϕ are inner functions such that $\varphi H^2 \subset \phi H^2$, then we have φ is divisible by ϕ [3]. In fact, the converse is also true [1].

In this paper, Ω denotes a bounded finitely connected region in the complex plane and $R(\Omega)$ denotes the algebra of rational functions with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$.

For a Hilbert space K and a shift operator S_K on a vector-valued Hardy space $H^2(\Omega, K)$, Y.S. Kim characterized every $R(\Omega)$ -invariant subspace M for the operator S_K , that is, invariant under $u(S_K)$ for every $u \in R(\Omega)$, in terms of quasi-inner functions [4]; $M = \psi H^2(\Omega, K')$ for some quasi-inner function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow L(K', K)$ and a Hilbert space K' . Even though a quasi-inner function is defined as an operator-valued function in [4], by the Riesz representation theorem, we also provide a definition of a scalar-valued quasi-inner function.

For quasi-inner functions $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $u \in H^\infty(\Omega)$, we provide relationships between operator-valued and scalar-valued quasi-inner functions (Theorem 3.5). In addition, by using multiplication operator on a vector-valued Hardy space, we characterize quasi-inner functions (Corollary 3.9).

Key words and phrases. A generalized Beurling's Theorem; Hardy spaces; Hilbert spaces; Greatest common divisor; Quasi-inner functions; Rationally invariant subspaces.

For quasi-inner functions $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, K)$, we provide definitions of the following two cases ;

- (1) θ is divisible by φ .
- (2) φ is divisible by θ .

With these definitions, we characterize those divisibilities by comparing $R(\Omega)$ -invariant subspaces, $\theta H^2(\Omega, K)$ and $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K)$. Furthermore, the following result of Theorem 4.5(also Theorem 4.8) is similar to that of Proposition 2.1 which is in case of scalar-valued inner functions on the open unit disk ; for any quasi-inner functions $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) $\theta|\varphi$.
- (b) $\varphi H^\infty(\Omega, K) \subset \theta H^\infty(\Omega, K)$.
- (c) $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K) \subset \theta H^2(\Omega, K)$.
- (d) There is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\varphi(z)\varphi(z)^* \leq \lambda^2|\theta(z)|^2 I_K$ for any $z \in \Omega$.

The author would like to express her gratitude to her thesis advisor, Professor Hari Bercovici.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In this paper, $\mathbb{C}$, $\overline{M}$, and $L(H)$ denote the set of complex numbers, the (norm) closure of a set M , and the set of bounded linear operators from H to H where H is a Hilbert space, respectively.

2.1. Inner functions. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the open unit disc. We denote by H^∞ the Banach space of all bounded analytic functions $\phi : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the norm $\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup\{\|\phi(z)\| : z \in \mathbf{D}\}$.

Let θ and θ' be two functions in H^∞ . We say that θ *divides* θ' (or $\theta|\theta'$) if θ' can be written as $\theta' = \theta \cdot \phi$ for some $\phi \in H^\infty$. We will use the notation $\theta \equiv \theta'$ if $\theta|\theta'$ and $\theta'|\theta$.

Recall that a function $u \in H^\infty$ is *inner* if $|u(e^{it})| = 1$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbf{D}$. By Beurling's theorem on invariant subspaces of the Hardy spaces, for any inner function $\theta \in H^\infty$, we have that θH^2 is an invariant subspace for the shift operator $S : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ defined by $(Sf)(z) = zf(z)$ for $f \in H^2$. Generally, the following Proposition shows how to define a *divisor* of an inner function in H^∞ by using S -invariant subspaces.

Proposition 2.1. ([1]) *For any inner functions θ and θ' in H^∞ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\theta \mid \theta'$.
- (b) $\theta' H^\infty \subset \theta H^\infty$.
- (c) $\theta' H^2 \subset \theta H^2$.
- (d) $|\theta'(z)| \leq c |\theta(z)|$ for some $c > 0$ and for all $z \in D$.

2.2. Hardy spaces. We refer to [5] for basic facts about Hardy space, and recall here the basic definitions. Let Ω be a bounded finitely connected region in the complex plane.

Definition 2.2. The space $H^2(\Omega)$ is defined to be the space of analytic functions f on Ω such that the subharmonic function $|f|^2$ has a harmonic majorant on Ω . For a fixed $z_0 \in \Omega$, there is a norm on $H^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\|f\| = \inf \{u(z_0)^{1/2} : u \text{ is a harmonic majorant of } |f|^2\}.$$

Let m be the harmonic measure for the point z_0 , let $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ be the L^2 -space of complex valued functions on the boundary of Ω defined with respect to m , and let $H^2(\partial\Omega)$ be the set of functions f in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\partial\Omega} f(z)g(z)dz = 0$ for every g that is analytic in a neighborhood of the closure of Ω . If f is in $H^2(\Omega)$, then there is a function f^* in $H^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $f(z)$ approaches $f^*(\lambda_0)$ as z approaches λ_0 nontangentially, for almost every λ_0 relative to m . The map $f \rightarrow f^*$ is an isometry from $H^2(\Omega)$ onto $H^2(\partial\Omega)$. In this way, $H^2(\Omega)$ can be viewed as a closed subspace of $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

A function f defined on Ω is in $H^\infty(\Omega)$ if it is holomorphic and bounded. $H^\infty(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and it is a Banach algebra if endowed with the supremum norm. Finally, the mapping $f \rightarrow f^*$ is an isometry of $H^\infty(\Omega)$ onto a weak*-closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$.

Definition 2.3. If K is a Hilbert space, then $H^2(\Omega, K)$ is defined to be the space of analytic functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow K$ such that the subharmonic function $\|f\|^2$ is majorized by a harmonic function ν . Fix a point z_0 in Ω and define a norm on $H^2(\Omega, K)$ by

$$\|f\| = \inf \{\nu(z_0)^{1/2} : \nu \text{ is a harmonic majorant of } \|f\|^2\}.$$

We will work on this vector-valued Hardy space $H^2(\Omega, K)$. Note that $H^2(\Omega, K)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of the space $L^2(\partial\Omega, K)$ of square integrable K -valued functions on $\partial\Omega$. Define a shift operator $S_K : H^2(\Omega, K) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, K)$ by $(S_K f)(z) = zf(z)$.

3. QUASI-INNER FUNCTIONS

Let $R(\Omega)$ denote the algebra of rational functions with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$, and T be an operator in $L(H)$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$. Then a closed subspace M is said to be $R(\Omega)$ -invariant (*rationally invariant*) for the operator T , if it is invariant under $u(T)$ for any function $u \in R(\Omega)$.

To characterize every $R(\Omega)$ -invariant subspace for the shift operator S_K , quasi-inner function was defined in [4].

Definition 3.1. Let K and K' be Hilbert spaces and let $H^\infty(\Omega, L(K, K'))$ be the Banach space of all analytic functions $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow L(K, K')$ with the supremum norm. For $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K, K'))$, we will say that φ is *quasi-inner* if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi(z)k\| \geq c \|k\|$$

for every $k \in K$ and almost every $z \in \partial\Omega$.

Even though a quasi-inner function is defined as an operator-valued function, by the Riesz representation theorem, we can identify $L(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathbb{C}$. Thus we have the following definition of a scalar-valued quasi-inner function;

Definition 3.2. For $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$, we will say that θ is *quasi-inner* if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\theta(z)| \geq c$$

for almost every $z \in \partial\Omega$.

Proposition 3.3. Let K and K' be Hilbert spaces with $\dim K = \dim K' = n (< \infty)$.

If $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K, K'))$ is a quasi-inner function, then $\varphi(z)$ is invertible a.e. on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Since $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K, K'))$, φ has nontangential limits for any $z \in \partial\Omega \setminus A$ with $m(A) = 0$. For a fixed $z_0 \in \partial\Omega \setminus A$, since for some $c > 0$ and $a \in K$,

$$c \|a\| \leq \|\varphi(z_0)a\|,$$

the range of $\varphi(z_0)$ is closed, and $\varphi(z_0)$ is one-to-one. By the first isomorphism theorem,

$$\varphi(z_0)K \cong \text{the range of } \varphi(z_0).$$

Thus, K and the range of $\varphi(z_0)$ have the same dimension.

Since $\dim K = \dim K'$ and the range of $\varphi(z_0)$ is a closed subspace of K' , we conclude that the range of $\varphi(z_0)$ is K' , that is, $\varphi(z_0)$ is one-to-one and onto. Thus $\varphi(z)$ is invertible for $z \in \partial\Omega \setminus A$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let K and K' be Hilbert spaces with $\dim K = \dim K' = n (< \infty)$. If $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K, K'))$ is quasi-inner, and $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K', K))$ such that $\varphi(z)\psi(z) = u(z)I_{K'}$ for $u \in H^\infty(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$, then $\psi(z)\varphi(z) = u(z)I_K$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 (a), $\varphi(z)$ is invertible a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. From the equation $\varphi(z)\psi(z) = u(z)I_{K'}$, we obtain

$$\psi(z) = \varphi(z)^{-1}[u(z)I_{K'}] = u(z)\varphi(z)^{-1}I_{K'} = u(z)I_K\varphi(z)^{-1}$$

for a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. It follows that for a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$, $\psi(z)\varphi(z) = u(z)I_K$ which proves this Corollary. $\square$

In the next theorem, we have another results of relationships between operator-valued and scalar-valued quasi-inner functions.

Theorem 3.5. (a) *If $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $u \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ are quasi-inner functions such that*

$$\varphi(z)\psi(z) = u(z)I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

where $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$, then ψ is also quasi-inner.

(b) *Conversely, if $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ are quasi-inner functions such that*

$$\varphi(z)\psi(z) = u(z)I_{\mathbb{C}^n} \text{ or } \psi(z)\varphi(z) = u(z)I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

for some $u \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ ($u \neq 0$), then u is quasi-inner.

Proof. (a) Since φ and u are quasi-inner functions, there is $m_1 > 0$ such that $m_1 \leq |u(z)|$ a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$, and there exists $c_i (> 0)$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that for $h \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $c_1 \|h\| \leq \|\varphi(z)h\| \leq c_2 \|h\|$ a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Since $\varphi(z)\psi(z) = u(z)I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, for $h \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $m_1 \|h\| \leq |u(z)| \|h\| = \|\varphi(z)\psi(z)h\| \leq c_2 \|\psi(z)h\|$. Thus, for $h \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{m_1}{c_2} \|h\| \leq \|\psi(z)h\|$$

a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$.

From (3.1), we conclude that ψ is also quasi-inner.

(b) Since $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ are quasi-inner functions, there exist $m_1 (> 0)$, and $m_2 (> 0)$ such that for $h \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $m_1 \|h\| \leq \|\varphi(z)h\|$ and $m_2 \|h\| \leq \|\psi(z)h\|$ a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then

$$\|\varphi(z)\psi(z)h\| \geq m_1 \|\psi(z)h\| \geq m_1 m_2 \|h\|$$

and so $\|\varphi(z)\psi(z)\| \geq m_1 m_2$. Let $m' = m_1 m_2$. Since $|u(z)| = \|\varphi(z)\psi(z)\|$, it is proven. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K, K'))$. If φ is a quasi-inner function, then $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K)$ is closed subspace of $H^2(\Omega, K')$.*

Proof. Clearly $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K) \subset H^2(\Omega, K')$. Let $\{\varphi f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K)$. If f_n^* is the nontangential limits of f_n , then since φ is a quasi-inner function, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\varphi(z)f_n^*(z) - \varphi(z)f_m^*(z)\| \geq c \|f_n^*(z) - f_m^*(z)\|$$

for a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$.

Since $\|\varphi f_n^*\|_{H^2(\partial\Omega, K)} = \|\varphi f_n\|_{H^2(\Omega, K)}$, $\{\varphi f_n^*\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\varphi H^2(\partial\Omega, K)$, from the equation (3.2) we conclude that $\{f_n^*\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $H^2(\partial\Omega, K)$. We know that $H^2(\partial\Omega, K)$ is a Banach space. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^*$ exists.

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^* = f^* \in H^2(\partial\Omega, K)$, then there is unique $f \in H^2(\Omega, K)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi f_n = \varphi f \in \varphi H^2(\Omega, K')$ which proves this Proposition. $\square$

Furthermore, by using these quasi-inner functions, we have a generalization of Beurling's theorem as following:

Theorem A. (Theorem 1.5 in [4]) Let K be a Hilbert space. Then a closed subspace M of $H^2(\Omega, K)$ is $R(\Omega)$ -invariant for S_K if and only if there is a Hilbert space K' and a quasi-inner function $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow L(K', K)$ such that $M = \varphi H^2(\Omega, K')$.

Also, we compare two $R(\Omega)$ -invariant subspaces for S_K .

Proposition 3.7. [4] *Let $\varphi_1 : \Omega \rightarrow L(K_1, K)$ and $\varphi_2 : \Omega \rightarrow L(K_2, K)$ be quasi-inner functions.*

Then the subspaces $\varphi_1 H^2(\Omega, K_1)$ and $\varphi_2 H^2(\Omega, K_2)$ of $H^2(\Omega, K)$ are equal if and only if there exist functions $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_1, K_2))$ and $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_2, K_1))$ such that $\varphi\psi = I_{K_2}$, $\psi\varphi = I_{K_1}$ and $\varphi_1(z) = \varphi_2(z)\varphi(z)$ for any $z \in \Omega$.

Since we have two kinds of quasi-inner functions, we have two kinds of $R(\Omega)$ -invariant subspaces for S_K . One of them is generated by a scalar-valued quasi-inner function, and the other one is generated by an operator-valued quasi-inner function. We will also compare these two $R(\Omega)$ -invariant subspaces for S_K in Theorem 4.5.

Let K_1 and K_2 be separable Hilbert spaces. To characterize quasi-inner functions, we define a *multiplication operator* for a given function $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_1, K_2))$. A *multiplication operator* $M_\psi : H^2(\Omega, K_1) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, K_2)$ is defined by

$$M_\psi(g)(z) = \psi(z)g(z)$$

for all g in $H^2(\Omega, K_1)$. We can easily check that $\|M_\psi\| = \|\psi\|_\infty$.

Recall an important property of this multiplication operator :

Proposition 3.8. [4] *Let K_1 and K_2 be separable Hilbert spaces. If $T : H^2(\Omega, K_1) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, K_2)$ is a bounded linear operator such that $TS_{K_1} = S_{K_2}T$, then there is a function $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_1, K_2))$ such that $T = M_\psi$, where $M_\psi(g)(z) = \psi(z)g(z)$ for $g \in H^2(\Omega, K_1)$, we have $\|T\| = \|\psi\|_\infty$.*

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_1, K_2))$.*

- (a) *If φ is quasi-inner, then M_φ is one-to-one and has closed range.*
- (b) *If $M_\varphi : H^2(\Omega, K_1) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, K_2)$ is invertible, then φ is quasi-inner.*

Proof. (a) By Proposition 3.6, $M_\varphi H^2(\Omega, K_1) = \varphi H^2(\Omega, K_1)$ is closed. Since φ is quasi-inner, for some $c > 0$, $\|\varphi(z)a\| \geq c\|a\|$ for a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$ and for any $a \in K_1$. Thus condition (a) implies $\|M_\varphi f\| \geq c\|f\|$ for $f \in H^2(\Omega, K_1)$. It follows that M_φ is one-to-one.

- (b) Since $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K_1)$ is $R(\Omega)$ -invariant for S_{K_2} , by Theorem A,

$$\varphi H^2(\Omega, K_1) = \varphi_1 H^2(\Omega, K_0)$$

for a Hilbert space K_0 and a quasi-inner function $\varphi_1 : \Omega \rightarrow L(K_0, K_2)$.

Define an operator $T : H^2(\Omega, K_1) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, K_0)$ as follows. For $f \in H^2(\Omega, K_1)$, $Tf = g$ such that $\varphi f = \varphi_1 g$. Since φ_1 is a quasi-inner function, by (a), T is well-defined. Since $S_{K_0}T = TS_{K_1}$, by Proposition 3.8, $T = M_{\varphi_2}$ for a function $\varphi_2 \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_1, K_2))$. It follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi(z) = \varphi_1(z)\varphi_2(z)$$

for any $z \in \Omega$.

Since $M_\varphi : H^2(\Omega, K_1) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega, K_2)$ is invertible, so is $T = M_{\varphi_2}$. Note that the invertibility of T is equivalent to the invertibility of $\varphi_2(z)$ for any z in Ω . It follows that $\varphi_2(z)$ is bounded below for any z in Ω .

Since φ_1 is quasi-inner, $\varphi_1(z)$ is also bounded below almost every $z \in \partial\Omega$.

Therefore, by equation (3.3), for any $a \in K_1$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi(z)a\| \geq c \|a\|$$

for a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. □

4. QUASI-INNER DIVISORS

Let K be a Hilbert space. The time has come to consider divisibilities between a function in $H^\infty(\Omega)$ and a function in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$ or between functions in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$.

Definition 4.1. If $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, then we say that θ *divides* φ (denoted $\theta|\varphi$) if φ can be written as

$$\varphi = \theta \cdot \phi'$$

for some $\phi' \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$.

Definition 4.2. If $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, then we say that φ *divides* θ (denoted $\varphi|\theta$) if there exists $\psi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$ satisfying the following relations ;

$$\varphi(z)\psi(z) = \theta(z)I_K$$

and

$$\psi(z)\varphi(z) = \theta(z)I_K$$

for $z \in \Omega$.

Definition 4.3. If φ and φ' are functions in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, then we say that φ is a *left divisor* of φ' if

$$\varphi'(z) = \varphi(z)\varphi''(z) \text{ (denoted } \varphi|_l\varphi')$$

for some $\varphi'' \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, and we say that φ is a *right divisor* of φ' if

$$\varphi'(z) = \varphi''(z)\varphi(z) \text{ (denoted } \varphi|_r\varphi')$$

for some $\varphi'' \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$. We will say φ *divides* φ' if φ is not only a left divisor but also a right divisor of φ' .

Definition 4.4. Let φ be a functions in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$. A quasi-inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ is called the *greatest quasi-inner divisor* of φ if θ divides φ and every (complex-valued) quasi-inner divisors of φ is a divisor of θ . The *greatest quasi-inner divisor* of φ is denoted by $D(\varphi)$.

With definitions of divisibility, we provide the following results similar to Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 4.5. *For any quasi-inner functions $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\theta|\varphi$.
- (b) $\varphi H^\infty(\Omega, K) \subset \theta H^\infty(\Omega, K)$.
- (c) $\varphi H^2(\Omega, K) \subset \theta H^2(\Omega, K)$.
- (d) *There is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\varphi(z)\varphi(z)^* \leq \lambda^2|\theta(z)|^2 I_K$ for any $z \in \Omega$, where I_K is the identity function on K .*

Proof. If $\theta|\varphi$, $\varphi = \theta\varphi_1$ for some $\varphi_1 \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$. Then

$$\varphi H^\infty(\Omega, K) = \theta\varphi_1 H^\infty(\Omega, K) \subset \theta H^\infty(\Omega, K).$$

Thus (a) implies (b).

Conversely, suppose that $\varphi H^\infty(\Omega, K) \subset \theta H^\infty(\Omega, K)$. Then

$$\varphi^* H^\infty(\partial\Omega, K) \subset \theta^* H^\infty(\partial\Omega, K).$$

Let $\{b_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis of K and $g_i \in H^\infty(\partial\Omega, K)$ defined by $g_i(z) = b_i$ ($i \in I$). Since $\varphi^* H^\infty(\partial\Omega, K) \subset \theta^* H^\infty(\partial\Omega, K)$, there is $f_i \in H^\infty(\partial\Omega, K)$ such that $\varphi^* g_i = \theta^* f_i$, i.e. for $i \in I$,

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi^*(z)b_i = \theta^*(z)f_i(z).$$

Define $\varphi_1 : \partial\Omega \rightarrow L(K)$ by for $i \in I$,

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_1(z)b_i = f_i(z).$$

For $i \in I$, define $\varphi_i \in H^\infty(\partial\Omega, L(K))$ by $\varphi_i(z)b_j = \delta_{ij}f_i(z)$ ($j \in I$), where $\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi_1 = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i.$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), for each $i \in I$, $\varphi^*(z)b_i = \theta^*(z)\varphi_1(z)b_i$, and so

$$\varphi^* = \theta^* \varphi_1.$$

To prove that (b) implies (a), we have to show that $\varphi_1 \in H^\infty(\partial\Omega, L(K))$. Since $\theta \in H^\infty$ is a quasi-inner function, there is $c > 0$ such that $|\theta(z)| \geq c$ for every $z \in A \subset \partial\Omega$ with $m(A) = 0$. For any $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $z \in A$,

$$(4.4) \quad \|\varphi_1(z)x\| = \frac{\|\varphi^*(z)x\|}{|\theta^*(z)|} \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_\infty}{c}.$$

From (4.3) and (4.4), we conclude that

$$\varphi_1 \in H^\infty(\partial\Omega, L(K)).$$

By the same way as above, $(a) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ is proven. We begin to prove $(a) \Leftrightarrow (d)$. If $\theta|_\varphi$, $\varphi = \theta\varphi_1$ for some $\varphi_1 \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$. Then

$$\varphi(z)\varphi(z)^* = \theta(z)\varphi_1(z) \varphi_1(z)^*\overline{\theta(z)} \leq \|\varphi_1\|_\infty^2 |\theta(z)|^2 I_K.$$

Let $\lambda = \|\varphi_1\|_\infty$. Since φ is quasi-inner, $\varphi \neq 0$ and so $\lambda > 0$. Thus (a) implies (d).

Conversely, suppose that for any $z \in \Omega$,

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi(z)\varphi(z)^* \leq \lambda^2 |\theta(z)|^2 I_K$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. For each $z \in \Omega$, we will define a linear mapping $F_z \in L(K)$. Let

$$A = \{z \in \Omega : \theta(z) = 0\}$$

and

$$B = \{z \in \Omega : \theta(z) \neq 0\}.$$

If $z \in A$, then let $F_z = 0$. If $z \in B$, then range of $\overline{\theta(z)}I_K$ is K and so we can define a linear mapping F_z from K to range of $\varphi(z)^*$ by

$$F_z(\overline{\theta(z)}f) = \varphi(z)^*f$$

for $f \in K$.

Since $\left\|F_z(\overline{\theta(z)}f)\right\|^2 = \|\varphi(z)^*f\|^2 = (\varphi(z)\varphi(z)^*f, f) \leq \lambda^2(|\theta(z)|^2f, f) = \lambda^2\|\theta(z)f\|^2$, that is,

$$(4.6) \quad \left\|F_z(\overline{\theta(z)}f)\right\| \leq \lambda \|\theta(z)f\|,$$

F_z is well-defined for $z \in B$. By definition of F_z , if $z \in B$,

$$(4.7) \quad \theta(z)F_z^* = \varphi(z).$$

If $z \in A$, by (4.5) $\|\varphi(z)\| = 0$ and so $\varphi(z) = 0(z \in A)$. Thus $\theta(z)F_z^* = \varphi(z)$ for any $z \in \Omega$.

Define a function $F : \Omega \rightarrow K$ by

$$F(z) = F_z^*.$$

Then by equation (4.7),

$$\varphi(z) = \theta(z)F(z)$$

for $z \in \Omega$. To finish this proof, we have to prove that $F \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$. From inequality (4.6), we have

$$(4.8) \quad \|F\|_\infty \leq \lambda$$

and so $F = \frac{\varphi}{\theta}$ has only removable singularities in Ω . Thus F can be defined on $\{z \in \Omega : \theta(z) = 0\}$ so that F is analytic and

$$\varphi = \theta F.$$

From (4.8), $F \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$ which proves $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$. $\square$

Note that for $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$, we can think $\varphi(z)(z \in \Omega)$ as an $n \times n$ matrix, say $(\varphi_{ij}(z))_{n \times n}$.

Let F be a non-empty family of functions in $H(\Omega)$. Recall the definition of the greatest common quasi-inner divisor θ of F which means that θ divides every element in F , and θ is a multiple of any other common quasi-inner divisors of F . By the same way as the proof of Proposition 2.3.4 in [7], we have the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *Let F be a non-empty family of functions in $H(\Omega)$. Then the greatest common quasi-inner divisor θ of F , denoted by $\bigwedge F$, exists.*

Lemma 4.7. *If $\varphi = [\varphi_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$ is a function in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$, then $D(\varphi) = \bigwedge \varphi_{ij}$.*

Proof. Let $\bigwedge \varphi_{ij} = \theta_1$. If $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ is a quasi-inner function such that $\theta|\varphi$, then $\varphi = \theta\phi$ for some $\phi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Thus

$$(\varphi_{ij})_{n \times n} = (\theta\phi_{ij})_{n \times n}$$

for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $\varphi_{ij} = \theta\phi_{ij}$ for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $\phi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$, $\phi_{ij} \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and so $\theta|\varphi_{ij}$ for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By definition of greatest common divisor, we can conclude that $\theta|\theta_1$. Clearly $\theta_1|\varphi$. Thus $D(\varphi) = \theta_1$. $\square$

We have another result similar to Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.8. *For any quasi-inner functions $\theta \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(K))$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\varphi|\theta$.
- (b) $\theta H^\infty(\Omega, K) \subset \varphi H^\infty(\Omega, K)$.
- (c) $\theta H^2(\Omega, K) \subset \varphi H^2(\Omega, K)$.

(d) There is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $|\theta(z)|^2 I_K \leq \lambda^2 \varphi(z) \varphi(z)^*$ for any $z \in \Omega$.

Proof. This theorem is proven by the same way as Theorem 4.5. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. For any quasi-inner functions φ and φ' in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ respectively, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) $\varphi|_l \varphi'$.
- (b) $\varphi' H^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n) \subset \varphi H^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$.
- (c) $\varphi' H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n) \subset \varphi H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof. In the same way as the proofs of Theorem 4.5, it is proven that (a) and (b) are equivalent and so are (a) and (c). $\square$

Corollary 4.10. Let φ and φ' be quasi-inner functions in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Then $\varphi|_l \varphi'$ and $\varphi'|_l \varphi$ if and only if there exist a function ψ in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(K_1, K_2))$ such that $\psi(z)$ is invertible for any $z \in \Omega$ with $\sup \|\psi(z)^{-1}\| < \infty$ and $\varphi'(z) = \varphi(z)\psi(z)$ for any $z \in \Omega$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.9, we can conclude that $\varphi|_l \varphi'$ and $\varphi'|_l \varphi$ if and only if $\varphi' H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n) = \varphi H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then by Proposition 3.7, this Corollary is proven. $\square$

For quasi-inner functions φ and φ' in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$, $\varphi(z)$ is a bounded operator on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n$. When we consider some relationships between $\varphi|_l \varphi'$ ($\varphi|_r \varphi'$) and $\varphi(z)|_l \varphi'(z)$ ($\varphi(z)|_r \varphi'(z)$), respectively for each $z \in \Omega$, first of all, we obtain easily if $\varphi|_l \varphi'$ ($\varphi|_r \varphi'$) then

$$\varphi(z)|_l \varphi'(z) (\varphi(z)|_r \varphi'(z)), \text{ respectively}$$

for each $z \in \Omega$.

Suppose that $z \in \Omega$ if and only if $\bar{z} \in \Omega$. Then for $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$, we define a new function $\varphi^\sim \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ by

$$\varphi^\sim(z) = \varphi(\bar{z})^*$$

for $z \in \Omega$.

Lemma 4.11. Let φ_1 and φ_2 be functions in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Suppose that $z \in \Omega$ if and only if $\bar{z} \in \Omega$. Then following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $\varphi_1|_l \varphi_2$.
- (b) $\varphi_1^\sim|_r \varphi_2^\sim$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Since $\varphi_1|_l \varphi_2$, there is a function $\varphi_3 \in H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$ such that

$$\varphi_2(z) = \varphi_1(z)\varphi_3(z)$$

for $z \in \Omega$. By our assumption, $\varphi_2(\bar{z}) = \varphi_1(\bar{z})\varphi_3(\bar{z})$ for $z \in \Omega$. Thus

$$\varphi_2(\bar{z})^* = \varphi_3(\bar{z})^* \varphi_1(\bar{z})^*$$

for $z \in \Omega$ and so $\varphi_1^\sim|_r \varphi_2^\sim$.

($\Leftarrow$) By the same way as the proof of ($\Rightarrow$), this implication can be proven. $\square$

From Lemma 4.11, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.12. *Let φ_1 and φ_2 be functions in $H^\infty(\Omega, L(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Suppose that $z \in \Omega$ if and only if $\bar{z} \in \Omega$. Then following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $\varphi_1|_r \varphi_2$.
- (b) $\varphi_1|_r \varphi_2$ and $\varphi_1^\sim|_r \varphi_2^\sim$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bercovici, *Operator theory and arithmetic in H^∞* , Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode island (1988).
- [2] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert*, Acta Math. **81**, 239-255(1949).
- [3] Paul R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, van Nostrand-Reinhold, Princeton, New Jersey, 1967.
- [4] Y.S. Kim, *Linear Algebraic Properties for Jordan Models of C_0 -operators relative to multiply connected domains*, J. Operator Theory **57**, 375-389(2007).
- [5] W. Rudin, *Analytic functions of class H_p* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78**(1955), 44-66.
- [6] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam(1970).
- [7] Adele Zucchi, *Operators of class C_0 with spectra in multiply connected regions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(1997), no. 607.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, 2801 W. BANCROFT ST. TOLEDO, OH 43606, U.S.A.

E-mail address: Yun-Su.Kim@utoledo.edu